O1PE 4006 \$

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## TITION FOR ENTRY OF AFTER FINAL AMENDMENT FOR WHICH ENTRY WAS REFUSED

**APPLICANTS:** 

Birkhoelzer et al.

**GROUP ART UNIT: 2152** 

**SERIAL NO.:** 

09/992,974

**EXAMINER: Ramsey Refai** 

FILED:

November 19, 2001

**CONFIRMATION NO.: 7671** 

TITLE:

"MEDICAL

SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

WITH

WORKSTATION AND A CALL SYSTEM"

**MAIL STOP AF** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

SIR:

Ĵ

In response to the Final Rejection dated September 15, 2005, Applicants filed Amendment "C" under 37 C.F.R.§1.116 on January 17, 2006. In an Advisory Action dated February 1, 2006, it was stated that the Amendment will not be entered because it does not place the application in condition for allowance, because "newly amended claims contain features not previously presented and would therefore require further search and consideration by the Examiner." This Advisory Action was rendered in the name of the above-noted Examiner, but was signed by the Examiner's SPE.

The language added to the claims in Amendment "C" did nothing more than insert "medical" or "examination" at a few locations, so that the complete term "medical examination images" is used consistently throughout the claims. No other change in the claims was made in Amendment "C". These changes were made in response to a specific rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph on this point that was made in the aforementioned Final Rejection. Moreover, the term